

Appendix A: Pre-Plan Data Needs and Associated Costs

Pre-Plan Data Status								
1 Planning Issues/Questions	2 Needed Data Sets Needs Review – Buchanan Needs Review - Staff	3 Is Needed Data Set Available <i>Yes/No/ Partially</i>	4 Work Need to Obtain New Data or Prepare Existing Data	5 Est. Cost \$\$\$	6 Are Metadata Available <i>Yes/No</i>	7 Name/Source of Data Standard	8 Does Available Data Meet a National or Regional Standard?	9 Resource, GIS and/or GPS Staffing Needed
Issue 1 – Vegetation Management	• Soils Inventory & mapping	Yes	Consolidate multiple county soils Data	1250.00	Yes	NRCS	Yes	GIS - ¼ wm
	• Weed Inventory	Partial	Weed Database Update, GPS and GIS data capture/entry	\$25K	Yes	OSO/Vale	Stds proposed for OSO/DO/WO	GIS – 1wm Contract – 4wm
	• Forest Inventory	Partial (10-20%)	Field Inventory and collection, input to GIS, and database input	\$140K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Contract – 26wm GIS – 2 wm
	• T&E Plants	Partial (40%)	Incorporate Existing Data into GIS and convert to standard	\$15K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – 2 ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
	• Update/Finalize Allotment Boundaries	Partial	Finalize, QC and convert boundary theme and convert to statewide standards	\$5k	Yes	OSO	Yes	GIS – 1wm
	• Input and consolidation of Range Improvement Data	Partial	Data in multiple sources; GIS and Range staff to organize and create themes	\$10K	Partial	OSO/Vale	Yes	GIS – 2wm
	• Treatment Areas -	No	Prepare and research data and input into GIS	\$5K	No	OSO	Unknown	Staff - 1wm

Appendix A: Pre-Plan Data Needs and Associated Costs

Pre-Plan Data Status								
1 Planning Issues/Questions	2 Needed Data Sets Needs Review – Buchanan Needs Review - Staff	3 Is Needed Data Set Available <i>Yes/No/ Partially</i>	4 Work Need to Obtain New Data or Prepare Existing Data	5 Est. Cost \$\$\$	6 Are Metadata Available <i>Yes/No</i>	7 Name/Source of Data Standard	8 Does Available Data Meet a National or Regional Standard?	9 Resource, GIS and/or GPS Staffing Needed
Issue 2 Water Quality/Aquatic Resources/ Fisheries,	Gather, format existing data for input into ARIMS/GIS	Partial (60-70%)	ODFW data review, ARIMS existing data review. Identify data gaps, and incorporate into GIS	\$20K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – 2wm GIS – 2 wm
	Inventory/Update critical streams, including riparian values (critical habitat, 7 WS that are in section 7)	Partial	Field inventory on critical streams.	\$15K	Proposed	OSO	Yes	Staff – 2wm GIS – 1 wm
	Roads/Stream Crossings/Culverts	Partial - GIS	Field inventory for stream crossings culverts for fish passage	\$20K	Upon Completion	OSO	Part	GPS – 3wm GIS – 1 wm
	Gather, format existing data for input into ARIMS/GIS	Partial	Review existing restoration and PFC data and identify data gaps, and incorporate into GIS	\$15K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff - 2wm GIS – 1 wm
	Water Quality Monitoring and incorporate into ARIMS	Partial	Gather, input data	\$5K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – 1wm
	Riparian Photos in digital format	No	Scan and rectify photos	\$40K	NA	NA	NA	Contract – 8wm
Issue 3 Special Management Areas	Wild and Scenic Inventory for all free flowing streams, and those on acquired lands and in <u>Washington State on Grande Ronde River</u>	No	Inventory and entry into GIS, including Alternatives analysis	\$65k	Proposed	OSO	Yes	Contract – 13 wm
	Wild and Scenic existing situation	Partial	Review existing mapping and update where needed	\$10K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
Issue 3 (cont)	ACEC – Existing Areas’ data capture and documentation	Partial	Manuscripting/Acquire legals, document and capture	\$5K	Upon Completion	In Development	Yes	GIS – 1wm

Appendix A: Pre-Plan Data Needs and Associated Costs

Pre-Plan Data Status								
1 Planning Issues/Questions	2 Needed Data Sets Needs Review – Buchanan Needs Review - Staff	3 Is Needed Data Set Available <i>Yes/No/ Partially</i>	4 Work Need to Obtain New Data or Prepare Existing Data	5 Est. Cost \$\$\$	6 Are Metadata Available <i>Yes/No</i>	7 Name/Source of Data Standard	8 Does Available Data Meet a National or Regional Standard?	9 Resource, GIS and/or GPS Staffing Needed
Issue 4 Recreation Management	VRM Inventory	No	ID Team, GIS Modeling, key observation points, field inventories, and analysis	\$75K	No	OSO	Likely	Contract – 14wm GIS – 1wm
	ROS Inventory	No	Run transportation system analysis, after update	\$10K	Unknown	Unknown	???	GPS/Eng 1wm GIS 1 wm
	OHV, existing situation	No	Prepare and capture data for existing situation (current 100k data from ICBEMP)	\$10k	In process	OSO	Yes	Staff – 1wm GIS – 1wm
	OHV Designated Routes Inventory and Analysis	No	Field and Office Inventory. Field work would update transportation system as well.	\$100K	Upon Completion	OSO	Yes	Staff – 4wm GIS – 1wm Contract/GPS – 15wm
	Developed and Concentrated (but not developed) Recreation Sites (good start with rec map)	Partial	Field Inventory and GPS and incorporation into GIS	\$12.5K	Yes	OSO	Yes	GPS/Staff – 2 wm GIS – ½ wm
	• Dispersed Recreation Sties	Partial	Continue mapping effort Idaho Power has started. Brent has IP data	10k	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – 1.5 wm GIS - .5 wm
	• Trails/ Back Country BY-WAYS	Partial	Extract data from Transportation layer and verify, attribute. Trails will also be developed with alternatives	15k	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – 2wm GIS – 1wm
Issue 5 Cultural and Pale ontological Resources	• Non Section 106 Inv.	Partial	New Class II Inventory of Sensitive	\$65K	Yes	OSO/Central Oregon Heritage Group	Yes	Contract - 13 wm
	• Finalize Cultural Resources Sites Inventory	Partial	Capture Site Data for areas and line data (including Oregon Trail and other Historic Trails)	\$5K	Yes	Central Oregon Heritage Group	Yes	GIS – ½ wm Staff – ½ wm
	• Acquire OSO/SHPO survey data and review for Resource RMP Analysis	No	Review/Update Survey Data –	\$5k	Yes	Central Oregon Heritage Group	Yes	GIS – ½ wm Staff – ½ wm

Appendix A: Pre-Plan Data Needs and Associated Costs

Pre-Plan Data Status								
1 Planning Issues/Questions	2 Needed Data Sets Needs Review – Buchanan Needs Review - Staff	3 Is Needed Data Set Available <i>Yes/No/ Partially</i>	4 Work Need to Obtain New Data or Prepare Existing Data	5 Est. Cost \$\$\$	6 Are Metadata Available <i>Yes/No</i>	7 Name/Source of Data Standard	8 Does Available Data Meet a National or Regional Standard?	9 Resource, GIS and/or GPS Staffing Needed
Issue 6 Socioeconomics	State Office Economist input	No	Will acquire OSO input for Socio-Economic Analysis for RMP Area	\$20K	NA	NA	NA	OSO Support or contract
Issue 7 Energy and Minerals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mineral Potentials - Includes Locatables, Leasables and Salables 	No	Research, Manuscripting and Data Capture and acquisition	\$55K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff/Contract – 9wm GIS 2 wm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Known Geothermal Resource Areas 	No	Research Legals and Capture	\$5K	Yes	Vale	Yes	Staff – ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Well Locations 	No	Research Locations and Capture	\$5K	Yes	Vale	Yes	Staff – ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> General Geology 	Possible	Research Existing Data and Incorporate into District Database	\$5k	Yes	National	Yes	Staff ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
Issue 8 Lands and Realty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Utility Corridors 	Partial	Site/Corridor location research, preparation and capture	\$5K	Upon Completion	Vale	Unknown	Staff ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Land Tenure Zones 	No	Legal Locations identification and resources review, and incorporation into GIS	\$5K	No	Vale	Unknown	Staff – ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing Easements 	No	Easement Locations identification and resources review, and incorporation into GIS with updated 24k roads	\$5K	No	Vale	Unknown	Staff – ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-Federal Ownership Identification 	No	Update Resource Area Ownership for non-Federal (particularly State Lands along the Grande Ronde River)	\$5k	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – ¾ wm GIS ¼ wm
Issue 9 Transportation and Public Access	Road Inventory	No	Update Road Data for Non-Inventoried Routes, and identify road intersections, structures, and crossings. This effort would be partial funding combined with funding from other benefiting activities.	\$25,000	Yes	OSO	Yes	GPS – 4wm GIS – 1 wm
Issue 10 Fire and Fuels Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Large Fire Perimeter 	Partial	Manuscripting and capture, and preparation for analysis	\$2.5K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – ¼ wm GIS – ¼ wm

Appendix A: Pre-Plan Data Needs and Associated Costs

Pre-Plan Data Status								
1 Planning Issues/Questions	2 Needed Data Sets Needs Review – Buchanan Needs Review - Staff	3 Is Needed Data Set Available <i>Yes/No/ Partially</i>	4 Work Need to Obtain New Data or Prepare Existing Data	5 Est. Cost \$\$\$	6 Are Metadata Available <i>Yes/No</i>	7 Name/Source of Data Standard	8 Does Available Data Meet a National or Regional Standard?	9 Resource, GIS and/or GPS Staffing Needed
	• Fire Management Zones/ Representative Locations	Partial	Complete and update boundaries	\$2.5 K	Upon Completion	Vale	Yes	Staff – ¼ wm GIS – ¼ wm
	• Appropriate Management Categories	Partial	Complete and update boundaries	\$2.5K	Upon Completion	Vale	Unknown	Staff – ¼ wm GIS – ¼ wm
	• Interagency Protection Agreement Boundaries	Partial	Complete and update boundaries	\$2.5K	Upon Completion	Vale	Unknown	Staff – ¼ wm GIS – ¼ wm
	• Fire Regimes	Partial	Obtain data from partners. Current data exists on BLM lands for large fires, back to 1980. General fire history likely available from ICBEMP/REO. Data often does not match across district boundaries	\$5k	Yes	OSO/USFS	Will need to be updated.	Staff – ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
	• Fire Condition Class	No	Will probably only need information on two classes for RMP—maintenance and restoration.	\$5k	Upon Completion	OSO/USFS	Unknown	Staff – ½ wm GIS – ½ wm
Issue 11 Wildlife/Wildlife Habitat	• Capture/Update and Completion of Large Mammal, Sage Grouse, T&E species	Partial	Incorporate into WILDSITE standard, work with ODFW for large mammal data entry, complete raptor and lynx work	\$15K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff – 2wm GIS – 1wm
	• T&E Habitat	Partial	Have OSO continue to classify Satellite imagery for Sage grouse. Continue field inventories for SG and <u>other</u> <u>species</u> This could have District wide benefits. Wildsite input, updates	30k	Yes	OSO	Yes	OSO/Staff – 4 ½ wm GIS – 1 ½ wm
	• Mine Locations (BATS)	No	Identify and capture mine locations.	10K	Yes	OSO	Yes	Staff - 2 wm GIS - 1 wm

Appendix B: Proposed Plan Preparation Schedule for the Baker RMP Revised for 2006 NOI

Planning Phase	Actions	Dates	Responsibility
Formally Initiate Planning Effort and Preliminary Scoping (43 CFR 1610.2)	Hire planning position (Core Team Manager or CTM) Four-year term position	10/01/05	FM
	Hire Core Team positions (GIS specialist, Writer/Editor, Admin. Asst.)	10/01/05 – 12/01/05	CTM/FM
	Publish NOI in Federal Register	10/01/05	FM/PEC
	Update Mailing List	10/01/05	PEC/FO/DO
	Provide Preliminary Planning Bulletin	11/01/05	FO/CTM/PA/PEC
	Pursue MOUs or Cooperating Agency status for entities with jurisdiction by law or special expertise	11/01/05	FM/CTM/PEC
	Initiate government-to-government consultation with Tribal Governments.	11/01/05	FM/DM
	Begin formal solicitation for issues and concerns <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Make presentation to Resource Advisory Council • Conduct Open Houses and initiate other public involvement 	12/01/05	CTM/FM/DM/PA
Inventory and Data Collection (43 CFR 1610.4-3)	GIS database <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update Themes • Metadata • Determine Data Gaps 	11/01/02 – ongoing	CTM/IDT
	Data Collection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initiate Contracts for data collection • Contact adjacent USFS and BLM Offices and State Agencies to determine opportunities for joint data gathering • Other Data collection 	As early as possible as funding becomes available.	CTM/IDT/PEC
	Collaborative Data evaluation (USFS, BLM Central Oregon FO, USGS, BPA, ODFW, ODE, NOAA Fisheries, USFWS)	01/15/06	CTM/IDT FM/OSO/PEC

Appendix B: Proposed Plan Preparation Schedule for the Baker RMP Revised for 2006 NOI

Planning Phase	Actions	Dates	Responsibility
Initiate Consultation on T&E and Cultural Resources	Contact USFWS and NMFS for consultation and timeline for completed consultation. Consider any need to assist in making consultation timely, if necessary.	10/01/05 – until completed	CTM Wildlife Biologist Botanist Fish Biologist PEC
	Contact State Historic Preservation Office and initiate consultation.	10/01/05 – until completed	Archaeologist
Issue Resolution and Alternative Development (43 CFR 1610.4-1)	Based on information received during Scoping (internal and external) formulate management alternatives focused on issue resolution. Continue public involvement and coordination with Tribal, local, and state governments. Continue consultation with Tribal governments.	02/01/06 – continue through process	CTM/IDT/FM/PEC
Development and Publication of the Analysis of the Management Situation (43 CFR 1610.4-4)	Prepare and publish the Analysis of the Management Situation (AMS) based on data gathering, scoping, and initial issue and alternative development.	11/01/05 – 12/01/06	CTM/IDT/PEC
	Receive public comment on the AMS. Continue public involvement and coordination with Tribal, local, and state government. Continue consultation with Tribal governments.	12/01/06 –2/01/07 and ongoing	CTM/FM/IDT/PEC

Appendix B: Proposed Plan Preparation Schedule for the Baker RMP Revised for 2006 NOI

Planning Phase	Actions	Dates	Responsibility
Develop, Write, and Publish Draft RMP/DEIS (43 CFR 1610.4-5 –7)	Continue to formulate as preliminarily presented in the AMS. Finalize alternatives and choose a Preferred Alternative Estimate effects of alternatives Write Draft RMP/EIS. Review document with collaborators. Revise draft based on comments. Publish and distribute Draft RMP/EIS. Publish NOA in Federal Register. Allow for 90-day public review and comment.	01/01/07 –07/01/07 07/01/07-10/15/07	CTM/IDT/OSO/PEC
Analyze Public Comment and Prepare Proposed RMP/EIS Proposed Decision (43-CFR 1610.4-8)	Conduct Public Comment Analysis. Review comments for relevance and incorporation into RMP/ Final EIS. Publish and Distribute RMP/FEIS. File a copy of the Proposed RMP/EIS Proposed Decision with EPA	10/15/07 –10/15/08	IDT/CTM/FM/PEC
Initiate Protest Period and Governor’s Consistency Review (43 CFR 1610.5-2)	30-day protest period (43 CFR 1610.5-2) If necessary, issue a Notice of Significant Change (additional 30- day protest period)	10/15/08 – 12/15/08	FM/DM/OSO/SD/PA/PEC
Approve RMP/ Signed ROD (43 CFR 1610.5-1)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write and sign ROD • Prepare NOA for Federal Register 	12/15/08 – 2/15/09	CTM/FM/DM/OSO/SD/PEC
Prepare Implementation Plan	Document and Prioritize Plan Implementation, Modification, and Monitoring	2/15/09 and ongoing	CTM/PEC

Appendix C: Proposed Budget for Baker RMP

Action	FY - 05	FY - 06	FY - 07	FY - 08	FY - 09
Project Initiation (DO)^{i, ii} <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Revise preplan as needed and directed Hire Core Team Manager Hire Core Team including GIS, Writer/Editor, Support Staff Set up Office Infrastructure Identify need for equipment, including Geographic Information System hardware, software, training, etc. Identify need for other data bases, supplies, etc. 	\$75,000				
Inventory and Data Collection (DO) (Refer to Appendix A) GIS database <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Update Themes Metadata Determine Data Gaps Data Collection <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initiate Contracts for data collection Contact adjacent USFS Offices to determine opportunities for joint data gathering Other Data collection Collaborative Data evaluation (USFS, BLM Baker FO, USGS, BPA, ODFW, etc.)	\$375,000 BR=\$87k for Shrub/Grassland Vegetation Inventory BT=\$133k for forest and woodland vegetation BV=\$50k for stream/riparian inventory BI=\$75k or balance from above for geographic data acquisition & maintenance \$30k for Economic Profile System analysis and Sonoran Institute support during scoping.	\$914,000 BA= \$100k for Recreation Resources inventoried/assessed BC=\$75k for cultural/paleontological inventory, BI=\$369k geographic data acquisition & maintenance BS=\$67k for weed inventory BV=\$83k for stream/riparian inventory BY=\$133k for Linear Recreation Assessments BZ= \$87k for National Designated Rivers and Trails Assessment.			

Appendix C: Proposed Budget for Baker RMP

Action	FY - 05	FY - 06	FY - 07	FY - 08	FY - 09
Scoping (DO) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create interagency project mailing list • Publish NOI in Federal Register • Update District Mailing List • Provide Preliminary Planning Bulletin • Conduct Open Houses and intergovernmental briefings • Begin Formal Solicitation of Issues and Concerns Pursue MOUs for Cooperating Agency Status		\$300,000 (includes est. \$10,000 for scoping flyer) (includes \$80,000 for public meetings, related interagency travel, participation of OSO personnel with rare skills, etc.)			
Consultation (DO) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contact USFWS and NMFS for consultation and timeline for completed consultation. Consider any need to improve timely consultation, if necessary. • Contact State Historic Preservation Office and initiate consultation. • Cooperative Native American Coordination and Consultation done jointly with CORA on John Day RMP • Contact state agencies with regulatory roles and determine procedural requirements, data and analysis standards 		\$50,000 Includes AJ=\$25k for Native American Coordination and Consultation			
Formulate Alternatives (DO) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Formulate management alternatives focused on issue resolution. • Continue public involvement and coordination with Tribal, local, and state governments. • Continue consultation with Tribal governments. • Publish Scoping report (feedback loop) 		\$311,000 (includes est. \$11,000 publication costs)			

Appendix C: Proposed Budget for Baker RMP

Action	FY - 05	FY - 06	FY - 07	FY - 08	FY - 09
Write and Publish AMS (DP) Analyze Public Comments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Complete FY 05 inventories and assemble into AMS • Prepare and publish the Analysis of the Management Situation (AMS) based on data gathering, scoping, and initial issue and alternative development • Receive public comment on the AMS. Continue public involvement and coordination with Tribal, local, and state government. Continue consultation with Tribal governments. 			\$885,000 (includes est. \$60,000 publication costs, and AJ=\$25k for Native American Coordination and Consultation)		
Develop, Write, and Publish Draft EIS (DP) Analyze Public Comments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write Draft RMP/EIS. • Review document with collaborators. • Revise draft based on comments. • Publish and distribute Draft RMP/EIS. • Publish NOA in Federal Register. • Allow for 90-day public review and comment. • Conduct Public Comment Analysis. • Review comments for relevance and incorporation into RMP/ Final EIS. • Publish and Distribute RMP/FEIS. • Publish NOA in Federal Register. 			\$1,000,000 (includes est. \$60,000 publication costs, and \$40,000 for OSO special skills travel, meetings, and reviews)		

Appendix C: Proposed Budget for Baker RMP

Action	FY - 05	FY - 06	FY - 07	FY - 08	FY - 09
Prepare and Publish Proposed RMP and Final EIS (DQ) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prepare Draft EIS/RMP Comment Analysis • Prepare preliminary final RMP/EIS. • Review document with OSO staff, cooperators/ collaborators. • Revise EIS based on comments. • Brief State Director and WO, secure approvals • Publish, distribute and file Final RMP/EIS. • Publish NOA in <i>Federal Register</i>. • Provide for 30-day public review and protest period. • Provide 60-day Governor review period • Conduct public comment review for clarifications. Assist WO in resolution of any planning protests				\$740,000 (includes est. \$30,000 for Comment Analysis; \$60,000 publication costs; \$25,000 for OSO special skills travel, meetings, and reviews; and AJ=\$25k for Native American Coordination and Consultation)	
Prepare and Publish Approved Plan/Record of Decision (DR) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clarify decisions as required by comments or protest resolution or Governor comments on plan consistency • Prepare preliminary Record of Decision and RMP • Review document with OSO staff, revise as needed • Brief State Director and WO, secure approvals • Publish and distribute RMP/ROD • Publish NOA in <i>Federal Register</i> 					\$197,000 (includes est. \$60,000 publication costs; and AJ=\$25k for Native American Coordination and Consultation)

Appendix C: Proposed Budget for Baker RMP

Action	FY - 05	FY - 06	FY - 07	FY - 08	FY - 09
TOTAL COST	\$450,000	\$1,575,000	\$1,885,000	\$740,000	\$197,000

ⁱ While Project Initiation might normally be associated with Program Element DN (Preplan), in this case the preplan was completed without specific 1610 funds designated for the DN program element. A minor component of the DO program element is allocated to revision and update of the preplan just prior to Project Initiation.

ⁱⁱ Planning Program Elements (DO, DP, DQ, and DR) associated with the Baker Preplan are based on National Average costs in 2002 for these elements, without accounting for inflation. District Budget projections indicate that the District will lose 12 MLR positions by 2006, so it is likely some, if not all, of the RMP will be completed under contract at higher than average costs. It is assumed that effective project management will be able to reduce some of these higher contract costs and the effects of inflation, but cost figures should be reevaluated and finalized during any preplan revisions just prior to Project Initiation at the beginning of FY-2006.

Appendix D: Proposed Public Participation Methods and Activities for the Baker RMP

Planning Phase	Purposes	Methods or Activities
ISSUE, PLANNING CRITERIA IDENTIFICATION Scoping (minimum of 30 days) Public Meeting Announcements (min. 15 days)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Announce upcoming scoping meetings. Request written comments on issues/scope of Plan, Analysis of Management Situation. Develop mailing list. Explain planning process to public. Solicit issues and concern. Identify scope of Plan. Explain planning process and consistency requirements to local and State government officials. Identify agency issues and concerns. Review input from groups showing interest in Plan. Respond back to the public on issues to be addressed initially. Collect additional data where needed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Notice of Intent in Federal Register</i> 30-Day Comment Period Newsletter to names on Baker RA Plan mailing list Press release to media Make presentation to John Day/Snake Resource Advisory Council. Meet with interested groups and organizations. Meet with local governments and other agencies. Initiate government-to-government consultation with Tribal Governments. Public meetings and open houses Public comment period News article
ALTERNATIVE FORMULATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe alternatives that have been developed. Make sure issues are addressed. Assure focus of plan. Request comments on alternatives. Obtain comments on content Inform local, State, and Federal agencies, interest group's key people of alternatives. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Newsletter to public, Plan mailing list 30-day comment period Written responses comment period Meetings and letters
DRAFT BAKER PLAN/EIS Public Comment Period (min. 90 days)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request comment on Draft Baker Plan/EIS. Announce upcoming public meetings. Describe components of the Draft Plan/EIS and solicit comments on it. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Draft Baker Plan/EIS mailed, 90-day comment period Press release to local and Portland media Notice of Availability in Federal Register Public meetings and open houses

Appendix D: Proposed Public Participation Methods and Activities for the Baker RMP		
Planning Phase	Purposes	Methods or Activities
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inform key individuals, agencies, and government. • Obtain comments on Draft Plan/EIS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meetings with groups, key people, government • Written responses, 90-day comment period
PROPOSED BAKER PLAN/FINAL EIS Governor's Consistency Review (min. 60 days) Protest Period (min. 30 days) Notice of Significant Change, if applicable (min. 30 days) Governor's Appeal to Director, if applicable (min. 30 days)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Give public opportunity to review proposed decisions and protest decisions if adversely affected. • Opportunity to comment on any significant changes made as result of a protest. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Publish Proposed Baker RMP/FEIS to public and mail list • <i>Federal Register</i> Notice requesting comments • Begin 60-day Governor consistency review, include notice explaining protest period (30 days)
APPROVED PLAN/ROD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Notify public of final decisions. • Distribute Plan 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • News release • News Article, Newsletter, transmittal letters • Mail approved plan to Baker RA Plan mailing list.
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Document and Prioritize Plan Implementation, Modification, and Monitoring 	

APPENDIX E

CONTACT/COMMENT DOCUMENTATION

1. NAME OF COMMENTOR(S):

2. LOCATION OF CONTACT:

3. PERSON DOCUMENTING CONTACT:

4. DATE: _____

5. WHAT PART OF THE PLANNING PROCESS DOES THIS CONTACT DEAL WITH?

☐ **A. Mailing List**

☐ **B. Response to News Article/letter**

☐ **C. Response to *Federal Register* Notice**

☐ **D. Schedule**

☐ **E. Preplan Analysis**

☐ **F. Maps**

☐ **G. Issues**

☐ **H. Management Objectives/Goals**

☐ **I. Management Actions**

☐ **J. Management Concerns**

☐ **K. Draft plan: Which Chapter?**

☐ **L. Alternative(s): Which Ones?**

☐ **M. Final plan: Which Chapter?**

☐ **N. Record of Decision: Which Section?**

☐ **O. Other:**

6. SUMMARY OF CONTACT AND INPUT (Use reverse side if necessary.):